

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3889 OF 2006.

Rajesh Mahipal Mewati.	... Petitioner.
V/s.	
Kendriya Vidyalaya, INS. Lonawala.	... Respondent.

None for the petitioner.

Suresh Kumar for the respondent.

CORAM: V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED: 12th September 2006.

P.C.:

. Even on second call, nobody appears for the petitioner. The absence of petitioner must result in dismissal of petition in default. However, dismissal of petition for want of prosecution will not serve any purpose. It will result in multiplicity of proceedings leading to restoration of petition. In that view of the matter, with the assistance of learned counsel for the respondent this Court went through the impugned order and the challenges set up in the petition.

. No case is made to interfere with the impugned order. The view taken by the court below is a reasonable and possible view. In the result, petition is dismissed in limine with no order as to costs.

